



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,895	03/19/2004	Madhavan Pisharodi	PISA,015	8181
Mark Wisner c/o Wisner & Associates Suite 400 1177 West Loop South Houston, TX 77027				
7590 10/30/2008				
EXAMINER				
PREBILIC, PAUL B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3774				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/804,895

Applicant(s)

PISHARODI, MADHAVAN

Examiner

Paul B. Prebille

Art Unit

3774

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul B. Prebille.

(3) _____

(2) Mark Wisner (Reg.# 30,603).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Amendment filed August 19, 2008 that was never received and entered by the USPTO.

Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sertich and Perrin as filed in the Final Office action of November 19, 2007.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Wisner presented an amendment and RCE paper that was filed on August 19, 2007 that did not make it into the file as yet. Mr. Wisner discussed ways of making this amendment of record. Discussed proposed response (attached), and the Examiner thought that the amendment would appear to overcome the rejections of record. However, further study was needed by the Examiner to make a definitive determination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul B. Prebille/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774